

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,815	09/22/2004	Yoshinobu Akimoto	18252	5930
	7590 05/29/200 TT MURPHY & PRES	•	EXAM	INER
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300	YU, MELANIE J			
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/508,815	AKIMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melanie Yu	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	06 March 2007				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
· <del>-</del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice ur	•	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application Papers  4) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and pers  9) The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection is described.	thdrawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.  aminer.  accepted or b) □ objected to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ul>	ments have been received. Iments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ∏ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/508,815

Art Unit: 1641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Applicant's response to the restriction requirement issued 6 February 2007 has been entered. However, upon further consideration a new restriction requirement has been issued because claims 12 and 13 are drawn to an examination method and not a test piece, and therefore belong in group III, not group II as originally restricted.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9 and 18-28 are drawn to a method for manufacturing a test piece comprising the special technical feature of fixing a specific binding substance.

Group II, claim(s) 10, 11 and 29-41 are drawn to a product comprising the special technical feature of a fixed specific binding substance.

Group III, claim(s) 12-17 are drawn to an examination method of using a test piece comprising the special technical feature of supplying a labeled examination substance.

1. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the application contains method and product claims to more than one of the combinations of inventions as set forth by 37 CFR 1.475.

## According to 37 CFR 1.475 regarding unity of invention:

(a) An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

Art Unit: 1641

- (b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features. The term "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution with each of the inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made based on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings.

Applicants are allowed at most one product, one method of making and one method of using in a single general inventive concept. However, the product, method of using and method of making of groups I-III do not form a general inventive concept because they do not share a special technical feature over the prior art. Li et al. (US 6,824,669) teach the product of group II comprising: a carrier with an array with a fixed specific binding substance capable of binding an organism-oriented substance on the carrier (col. 9, lines 8-18), wherein the array also contains a detection substance which is dispersed on the specific binding substance (competitor molecule labeled with electrochemical reporter, col. 9, lines 8-18). Therefore the product, method of making and method of using the product do not share a corresponding special technical feature over the prior art.

2. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the

Art Unit: 1641

limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of M.P.E.P. §821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be

Art Unit: 1641

traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Yu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,815 Page 6

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melanie Yu

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1641

LONG V. LE ///
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600